UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/809,710 | 03/26/2004 | Markus Isomaki | 59643.00382 | 8092 |
| | 7590 05/23/200 DERS & DEMPSEY I | EXAMINER | | |
| 8000 TOWERS CRESCENT DRIVE 14TH FLOOR VIENNA, VA 22182-6212 | | | WILSON, ROBERT W | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2619 | |
| | | | | |
| | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 05/23/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|----------------|--|
| 10/809,710 | ISOMAKI ET AL. | |
| | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |

| | ROBERT W. WILSON | 2619 | |
|---|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>07 May 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL | LOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperent for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth inter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE (). | g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII | n. LED WITHIN TWO |
| have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or | nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); | E below); | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | corresponding number of finally reje | ected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | • | _ |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | i be entered and an e. | kpianation of |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5,7-15 and 20-28</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | ıl and/or appellant fail: | s to provide a |
| 10. | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> | | condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| | /Robert W Wilson/ Primary Examiner, Art U | nit 2619 | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The examiner respectfully disagrees with applicant's argument that Rosen does not teach:Including floor status information of a data communication media in relation to a part of a communication session in a message carrying communication media information for the communication session; sending the message from a communication system to a user equipment

Rosen teaches: A method (Fig 2 performs the method) comprising:

Including floor status information of a data communication media in relation to a part of a communication session in a message carrying communication media information for the communication session (Channel 212 includes a floor control status including beginning, end, exchange, and so on. (floor status) of Media traffic (data communication media) in relation to inherent session per col. 6 line 59 to col. 7 line 23)

And sending the message from a communication system to a user equipment (The floor status message is sent from the communication manager (communication system) to the CD (user) per col. 6 line 59 to col. 7 line 23); and generating the message in accordance with a session description protocol (session description protocol is used within the SIP channel per col. 6 lines 60 to 63)

The examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant's argument that relative to 112/1st associated with claim 14; applicant did not explain or clarify whether performed by mobile or server.